arXiv:0903.2157v1 [hep-th] 12 Mar 2009

March, 2009

# Chiral Algebras for Superconformal Interacting Bosons

Doron Gepner
Department of Particle Physics
Weizmann Institute
Rehovot 76100, Israel

## ABSTRACT

A sort of calculus is developed to find the chiral algebras of $N=2$ superconformal interacting bosonic models. Many examples are discussed. It is shown that the algebras share a common structure, which we call almost Landau Ginzburg. For one or two generators, the number of relations is equal to the number of generators and they are algebraically independent.

# 1. Introduction

String theory has attracted considerable attention due to its potential to unify all the forces in nature, including gravity, along with giving the phenomenology of the known particle spectrum. In ref. [1], heterotic–like, spacetime supersymmetric string theories were described starting from any $N = 2$ superconformal models in two dimensions, with the central charge $c = 3r$, where $2r$ is the number of compactified dimensions. For four dimensional compactifications there is a gauge group which includes $E_6$, with chiral fermions in the 27 and $\bar{27}$ representations, and is thus capable of realistic physics. The constructions discussed were based on the tensor products of $N = 2$ superconformal minimal models. So, for example, the theory $1^1 16^3_E$ (one $k = 1$ and three $k = 16$ minimal models, with the exceptional modular invariant) predicts three generations of particles in the 27 of $E_6$, [2].

A geometric description of these theories was found in ref. [3], where it was shown that the spectrum of some such theories is the one expected from compactification on complex manifolds with vanishing first Chern class, so called Calabi–Yau manifolds, originally discussed by Candelas et al. [4]. So, for example, the theory $3^5$ has the spectrum expected from the quintic hypersurface in $CP^4$.

Much of the geometry is encoded in the so called chiral algebra of the $N = 2$ superconformal models. These are fields that obey $\Delta = Q/2$, where $\Delta$ is the dimension and $Q$ is the $U(1)$ charge. (similarly, for the right movers $\bar{\Delta} = \bar{Q}/2$.) These fields can be multiplyed by one another since the OPE is non–singular. I.e., if $C_i$ and $C_j$ are two such fields, we define the product chiral field, $C_k = C_i C_j$ as

$$C_k(w) = \lim_{z \to w} C_i(z) C_j(w). \tag{1.1}$$

Since the OPE is associative, this defines an algebra.

A large class of $N = 2$ superconformal models was put forwards by Kazama and Suzuki, based on interacting bosonic models (IBM) [5], and their Hilbert space was described in ref. [6]. Our aim in this paper is to describe the chiral algebra of such models.

We develop a sort of "calculus" to write down the chiral algebra of the models, and we discuss it explicitly in many examples. We hope that these results will serve to clarify the geometrical nature of the models.

We find a common structure for the chiral algebras of the models. This we call almost Landau Ginzburg (ALG). It is easiest to describe when there are one or two generators, there the number of relations in the algebra is equal to the number of generators and the relations are algebraically independent. With more generators the structure is more complicated, for example for three generators there are four relations, but it is also common to all the models discussed.

## 2. $N = 2$ Superconformal interacting bosons

The $N = 2$ interacting bosonic models of Kazama and Suzuki are defined as follows [5]. We take a set of vectors of length three, which we denote by $\gamma_i$. We assume that the scalar products of these vectors are either 0 or 1,

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j = \Gamma_{ij} = 0 \text{ or } 1, \tag{2.1}$$

for $i \neq j$ and $\gamma_i^2 = 3$.

We define the super stress tensors $G_\pm(z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} G_+ &= \sum_\gamma f_\gamma : e^{i\gamma\phi} :, \\ G_- &= \sum_\gamma f_\gamma^\dagger : e^{-i\gamma\phi} :, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\phi$ is a set of canonical free bosons with the same dimension as $\gamma$, and $f_\gamma$ are some complex numbers. The $f_\gamma$ are also multiplied by a cocycle operator, which since it does not enter into our discussion here, for the most part, we will ignore. The rest of the elements of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra are then dictated by the OPE of the algebra, and they are,

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \frac{i}{2}\sum_{\gamma}|f_\gamma|^2\gamma\partial\phi, \\ T &= -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\gamma}|f_\gamma|^2(\gamma\partial\phi)^2+\sum a(\alpha) :e^{i\alpha\phi}:, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$a(\alpha)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{\gamma,\gamma',\gamma\gamma'=1\\ \gamma-\gamma'=\alpha}} f_\gamma f^\dagger_{\gamma'}. \tag{2.4}$$

Importantly, for every such matrix $\Gamma$, the $N=2$ algebra closes, and we obtain an $N=2$ superconformal field theory, provided that the following relations hold,

$$\sum_j \Gamma_{ij}x_j=2, \qquad \text{where } x_j=|f_{\gamma_j}|^2, \tag{2.5}$$

and the central charge is

$$c=\frac{3}{2}\sum_i x_i. \tag{2.6}$$

Other models exist where we let the off diagonal elements of $\Gamma$ be 0 or $\pm1$. However, these do not always lead to a consistent $N=2$ superconformal algebra, and will not be discussed here. We can denote each such matrix $\Gamma$, which defines the model, by an unoriented graph of $n$ points, $n=\dim\gamma$, where we connect the two points $i$ and $j$ if $\Gamma_{ij}=1$, and leave it unconnected if $\Gamma_{ij}=0$. Thus, each graph uniquely defines an interacting bosonic model (IBM). Clearly, isomorphic graphs lead to the same theory, and if the graph is disconnected, the theory is a tensor product of each of the theories associated with each connected piece. Thus, it is enough to consider only connected unoriented graphs.

As a n example consider the complete graph, $\Gamma_{ij}=2\delta_{ij}+1$, for $i,j=1,2,\ldots,n$. It is easy to see that $x_i=2/(n+2)$ and thus form eq. (2.6), the central charge is given by

$$c = \frac{3n}{n+2}, \tag{2.7}$$

which is the central charge of the $n$'th minimal model. We conclude that the complete graphs corresponds to the minimal models.

The free boson operator, that we take, must be local with respect to the $N = 2$ generators, $T$, $G_\pm$ and $J$, in the Neveu–Schwarz sector (NS). Any operator in the free boson theory is of the form $a = e^{ip\phi}$ (times derivatives of $\phi$), that is the momenta is $p$. Then, locality with $G_+$ is obeyed if the momenta $p$ lies on the dual lattice of $\Gamma$,

$$p\gamma_i = \text{integer}, \tag{2.8}$$

and in the Ramond sector (R), this condition becomes, $p\gamma_i = \text{integer} + \frac{1}{2}$. Denoting the dual lattice generators by $\zeta_i$,

$$\gamma_i \zeta_j = \delta_{ij}, \tag{2.9}$$

we conclude that each momenta can be written by

$$p = \sum_i \epsilon_i \zeta_i, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\epsilon_i$ are some integers, in the NS sector. It is enough to consider only the NS sector since the R sector is isomorphic to it ref. [7]. We conclude that the free boson theory is defined on the dual lattice to $\Gamma$, denoted by $\Gamma^*$. The operator $T_A^0$ will mix fields with equal dimension in the free theory. Thus every field is of the form

$$b = \sum_r : e^{ip_r\phi} e^{ip_r\bar{\phi}} : O_r, \tag{2.11}$$

where $O_r$ are a product of some derivatives of $\phi$ and $\bar{\phi}$, all of the same dimension in the free boson theory. We assumed here that the bosonic theory is left right symmetric, i.e., the left momenta and the right momenta are equal. In the sequel, we will omit the right moving part, as it is always the same as the left moving part. In particular $p_r^2/2$ are all the same modulo an integer.

The primary fields in an $N=2$ algebra, in the NS sector, are the fields $\theta$ that obey the relation,

$$G_{\pm}(z)\theta(w) = O[(z-w)^{-1}], \tag{2.12}$$

and the chiral fields are the primary fields which obey also,

$$G^{+}(z)\theta(w) = O(1). \tag{2.13}$$

It follows that the fields $\theta =: e^{ip\phi}:$ where, the momenta is $p=\sum_r \epsilon_r \zeta_r$, and $\epsilon_r = 0, \pm 1$, will be primary fields. Clearly, when $A$ is not a minimal model, there are infinite number of primary fields, not all of this form. However, these are guaranteed to be primary fields. The chiral fields will be given by the same expression, where $\epsilon_i$ are limited to be zero or one, $\epsilon_i = 0, 1$. From the left–right symmetry these are fields which are both left and right chiral. There are no fields which are left chiral and right anti–chiral. Clearly, there might be other chiral fields, not of this form. Some examples will be discussed in the sequel. We call the set of this fields as the basic chiral fields, which are guaranteed to be chiral for every such $\epsilon_i$. We will denote such a field by its $\epsilon_i$, $\theta = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$. From eq. (2.3), the $U(1)$ charge of the field $\theta$ is

$$q = \frac{1}{2}\sum_r \epsilon_r x_r. \tag{2.14}$$

The maximal chiral field is given by the field $C_{\text{max}} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$, and it will have the $U(1)$ charge $c/3 = \frac{1}{2}\sum x_i$.

Let us turn now to the Hilbert space of the theories, following ref. [6]. Denote by $T_0$ the stress tensor of the free theory of $n$ bosons,

$$T_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\partial\phi_i)^2. \tag{2.15}$$

The key to solving the theory is the observation that $T_B = T_0 - T$ and $T$ commute, i.e., their OPE is nonsingular. We denote the IBM with the stress tensor $T$ as the $A$ theory. Thus, the theory $A$, tensored with the $B$ theory, gives a free boson theory. This implies, in particular, that the operators $T_A^0$ and $T_B^0$, the dimensions, commute, and thus can be diagonalized simultaneously. It follows, that each operator in the free theory, along with its mixings by $T_A$ can be diagonalized to give a field in the $A$ theory, since $T_A$ is the identity in the $B$ theory, and the problem of finding the spectrum, reduces to a finite dimensional diagonalization of the action of $T_A^0$.

For the basic primary fields which are of the type $\theta = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ such that $|\epsilon_i| \leq 1$, the mixing matrix, under $T_0^A$ is particularly simple to describe. The field $\theta$ will mix with fields of the form $\theta_{ij} = \theta + \gamma_i - \gamma_j$, where $\gamma_i\gamma_j = 1$ and $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j = 2$. From the expression for $T_A$, eq. (2.3), the diagonal elements of the mixing matrix are,

$$M(\theta,\theta) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_r x_r\epsilon_r^2, \tag{2.16}$$

and the off diagonal elements are

$$M(\theta,\theta_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{x_i x_j}. \tag{2.17}$$

Diagonalizing the matrix $M$ we get the dimensions of the field $\theta$, while the eigenvectors denote the specific combinations which are fields in the $A$ theory. Other fields will involve derivatives of $\phi$ in their mixings, and thus the matrix describing $L_0^A$ will be much more complicated. See ref. [8] for explicit calculations of such mixings. Here, however, we shall not perform such mixings explicitly.

Let us assume that we have a graph of the type,

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.18}$$

where in the second row we have $r+1$ ones, for some $r$. From eq. (2.5) to get the $x_i$ we need to solve the equation, $\Gamma_{ij}x_j = 2$. Denote by $y$,

$$y = \sum_{i=3}^{r+2} x_i. \tag{2.19}$$

Then, eq. (2.5) implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_1 + x_2 &= 2, \\ 3x_2 + x_1 + y &= 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Solving it we find that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{4+y}{8}, \\ x_2 &= \frac{4-3y}{8}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Now, consider the field,

$$a_1 = (1, -1, 0, 0, \dots, 0). \tag{2.22}$$

It mixes only with the field

$$a_2 = a_1 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 = (-1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), \tag{2.23}$$

where there are $r+1$ ones in $a_2$. To get the dimensions of this field we need to find the eigenvalues of the mixing matrix, eq. (2.16,2.17). A short calculation shows that this two by two matrix is given here by,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11} &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_i x_i a_{1i}^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1-y/4) \\ , M_{22} &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_i x_i a_{2i}^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1+3y/4), \\ M_{12} = M_{21} &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{x_1 x_2} = \frac{1}{16}\sqrt{(4-3y)(4+y)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

The matrix we need to diagonalize, $M$, is given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.25}$$

A quick calculation shows that the eigenvalues of this matrix are $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $y/8$, with the eigenvectors

$$\{\frac{\sqrt{16-8y-3y^2}}{y+4}, 1\}, \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$\{\frac{\sqrt{16-8y-3y^2}}{3y-4}, 1\}, \tag{2.27}$$

Thus the field $\phi = c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2$ has the dimension $\Delta = y/8$, with the $c$'s given by eq. (2.26). Since the $U(1)$ charge of the field $a_1$ is $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2) = y/4$ it follows that the field $\phi$ is a chiral field, since it obeys $Q = 2\Delta$. We conclude that the field $a_1$, along with its mixings, for any matrix of the type $\Gamma$ given by eq. (2.18) is chiral, despite the fact that it is not given by the canonical type $\epsilon_i = 0, 1$. The square of this field is given by

$$a_1 a_2 = (0, 0, 1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0), \tag{2.28}$$

where there are $r$ ones in this field. To summarize, each time that the graph of $\Gamma$ has a "tail", there will be the chiral field associated to this tail given by $a_1$, with the $U(1)$ charge $Q = y/4$.

# 3. The chiral algebras

The problem of calculating the chiral fields of a given IBM model is quite hard, since we do not know up to what dimensions we need to go in order to get all the fields. Here, we will make a simplifying ansatz that all the chiral fields are of the form as follows. Either, they are given by the basic chiral fields of the form $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$, such that $\epsilon_r = 0$ or 1, or, for each tail of the graph, as explained above, we have the field $(1, -1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ where positions 1 and 2 refer to the tail. As we shall see in the examples in the sequel this ansatz leads to consistent results. There are examples where this ansatz is not justified, and there are additional chiral fields, but these will not be discussed here.

We shall divide the relationships in the algebra to two kinds. 1) Field identifications. These we will get using the field identifications in the chiral algebra. 2) Zero relations. These we will get from the missing chiral fields with the maximum $U(1)$ charges. To get the field identifications we develop a kind of calculus, where we combine the field identifications with the associative products in the chiral algebra. The zero relations we then get from the missing fields at the top of the algebra.

Before proceeding it will be useful to work out a simple example. Consider the graph which is the Dynkin diagram of the algebra $A_n$. Accordingly, we will call this theory $A_n$. The matrix of scalar products is given by the $n \times n$ matrix,

$$\Gamma_n = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

The graph $\Gamma_n$ is $Z_2$ symmetric under the exchange of the point $r$ with the point $n + 1 - r$. Define the field $\theta_r = (0, 0, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, where the 1 is in the $r$ position. We will, thus, assume that the fields $\theta_r$ and $\theta_{n+1-r}$ are identical in the

IBM. That is, they differ by a field identification. It should be possible to justify this assumption by showing that the field $(0,0,\ldots,1,0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0)$, times derivatives of $\phi$, where the 1 and $-1$ are in the $r$th and $n+1-r$th place respectively, has zero dimension under $T^0_A$. Since this calculation involves derivatives of $\phi$, we shall not perform it here.

Let us consider first the simple example of $A_3$. The solution to eq. (2.5) is

$$x = (4,2,4)/7. \tag{3.2}$$

The central charge is, eq. (2.6),

$$c = \frac{3}{2}\sum x_i = 15/7, \tag{3.3}$$

which is the central charge of the 5th minimal model. Thus, we expect to find the chiral algebra of the $k=5$ minimal model. This will provide a check for the consistency of our method. We have two generators for the chiral algebra,

$$x_1 = (1,-1,0) = (-1,1,1), \qquad \text{and } z_1 = (0,1,0). \tag{3.4}$$

Thus $x_1^2 = (1,-1,0)\times(-1,1,1) = (0,0,1) = (1,0,0)$ (we simply add the momentas in the product) and we find the relation

$$x_1 z_1 = (1,0,0) = x_1^2, \tag{3.5}$$

from which we conclude that $z_1 = x_1$ (we assume for the field identifications that if $ab = ac$ then $b = c$). We thus have one generator $x_1$. For the zero relation, we note that the maximal chiral field is $x_1^5$ of $U(1)$ charge $c/3$. Thus, we are missing the field $x_1^6$. We conclude that $x_1^6 = 0$ is the only zero relation, and the algebra is

$$C \approx \frac{C[x_1]}{(x_1^6)}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $(a)$ denotes the ideal generated by $a$, and $C[x_1]$ denotes all the polynomials of $x_1$ with coefficients which are complex numbers. This is exactly the correct chiral algebra of the minimal model, confirming our method.

In general, it is convenient to represent the chiral algebra by its Poincare polynomial, defined by

$$P(t)=\sum_{\mathcal{C}} q^{J_0}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the chiral algebra generators and $J_0$ is the $U(1)$ charge. Due to the transpose property, the Poincare polynomial obeys,

$$P(t)=t^{c/3}P(1/t). \tag{3.8}$$

The Poincare polynomial is a convenient way to describe the algebra. For our minimal model example, $A_3$, the polynomial is

$$P(t^7)=\frac{1-t^6}{1-t}, \tag{3.9}$$

as is well known. In general, if the degree of the generators (their $U(1)$ charge times $N$) is $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r$ and the degrees of the relations is $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r$, and the relations are algebraically independent, then the Poincare polynomial is

$$P(t^N)=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\frac{1-t^{b_j}}{1-t^{a_j}}. \tag{3.10}$$

As we shall see, for one and two generators the Poincare polynomials of the IBM under discussion will always be of this form.

The example of $A_3$ can be generalized to any complete graph of $n$ points which is missing one line. Here, the scalar product matrix is,

$$\Gamma_{i,j}=2\delta_{i,j}+1-\delta_{i,1}\delta_{j,n}-\delta_{i,n}\delta_{j,1}, \tag{3.11}$$

and $i, j=1,2,\ldots,n$. The missing line is between 1 and $n$. The case of $n=3$ is $A_3$ discussed above. We need to solve the equation $\Gamma_{ij}x_j=2$, eq. (2.5). Denoting

$x_1 = x_n = x$ and $x_2 = x_3 = \ldots x_{n-1} = y$ we find the solution,

$$y = \frac{2}{n+4}, \qquad x = \frac{4}{n+4}. \tag{3.12}$$

Thus, the central charge is given by

$$c = \frac{3}{2} \sum_i x_i = \frac{3N}{N+2}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $N = n + 2$. This is exactly the central charge of the $N$th minimal model. We conclude that the complete graph less a line is the $(n+2)$th minimal model where $n$ is the number of points.

We want to see if, by our method, we get the correct chiral algebra. Denote by $a_2 = (1,0,0,\ldots,0) = (0,0,\ldots,0,1)$ the first generator of degree 2, and by $b_1 = (0,1,0,\ldots,0) = (0,0,1,0,\ldots,0) = \ldots = (0,0,\ldots,1,0)$ the second generator associated to the $y$ node. The subscript denotes the degree of the generators. Now, consider the field $\theta = (2,1,0,\ldots,0)$. It is identical to the field

$$\theta_1 = \theta - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = (0,3,0,\ldots,0,1). \tag{3.14}$$

We can write $\theta$ with the generators as

$$\theta = a_2^2 b_1, \tag{3.15}$$

and similarly,

$$\theta_1 = b_1^3 a_2. \tag{3.16}$$

We conclude that we have the relation in the algebra,

$$a_2^2 b_1 = a_2 b_1^3, \tag{3.17}$$

from which it follows that

$$a_2 = b_1^2. \tag{3.18}$$

Thus, we have one generator only, $b_1$, of degree one. The null generator relation implies that

$$b_1^{n+3} = 0, \tag{3.19}$$

and we get the algebra,

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[b_1]}{(b_1^{n+3})}, \tag{3.20}$$

which is precisely the correct chiral algebra of the $N$th minimal model. We conclude that our "calculus" works correctly for this example.

Let us now do the example of $A_5$. Here the weights are given by $x = (5, 3, 4, 3, 5)/9$. So the central charge is $c = 30/9$, so this is not a minimal model. The generators in the chiral algebra are,

$$x_2 = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0), \qquad \text{and } x_3 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0), \tag{3.21}$$

whose $U(1)$ charges are $2/18$ and $3/18$ respectively. By field identification, $x_2 = (-1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ and $x_2^2 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. To get the relation between the generators, we use the field

$$\theta = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0) = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0) - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1, -1, 2, 1, 0). \tag{3.22}$$

The field theta can be written on the l.h.s. as $x_2^2 x_3^3$ and on the r.h.s. as $x_2^5 x_3$. We conclude that

$$x_2^3 = x_3^2. \tag{3.23}$$

Our algebra contains $x_2^j$, for all $j$, along with product by $1, x_3$. Thus we have all degrees except the degree one, $18Q = 0, 2, 3, 4, \ldots$. We conclude that the missing field is at degree 19, $18Q = 19$ and so

$$x_2^8 x_3 = 0, \tag{3.24}$$

is the zero relation. These are the only relations. So, our algebra is

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[x_2, x_3]}{(x_2^3 - x_3^2, x_2^8 x_3)}. \tag{3.25}$$

This is an example of a algebra with two generators. The Poincare polynomial is

$$P(t^{18}) = \frac{(1-t^6)(1-t^{19})}{(1-t^2)(1-t^3)}, \tag{3.26}$$

and we see that the relations in the algebra are algebraically independent.

Let us consider now the theory $A_6$. Here the weights are

$$x = (16, 10, 12, 12, 10, 16)/29$$

and the central charge is $c/3 = 38/29$. Again, there are two generators in the algebra,

$$x_3 = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \qquad \text{and } x_5 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0). \tag{3.27}$$

So, $x_3 = (-1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $x_3^2 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. The relation in the algebra is, again, obtained from,

$$\theta = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \theta - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1, -1, 2, 1, 0, 0), \tag{3.28}$$

which implies that $x_3^7 = x_3^2 x_5^3$ from which we conclude

$$x_3^5 = x_5^3, \tag{3.29}$$

which is the only field identification. The missing field is at degree $29Q = 31$ and so

$$x_5^2 x_3^7 = 0, \tag{3.30}$$

is the zero relation. It can be seen that these are the only relations. Thus, the chiral algebra is here

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[x_3, x_5]}{(x_3^5 - x_5^3, x_5^2 x_3^7)}. \tag{3.31}$$

The relations are algebraically independent and so the Poincare polynomial is,

$$P(t^{29}) = \frac{(1-t^{15})(1-t^{31})}{(1-t^3)(1-t^5)}. \tag{3.32}$$

We see from the examples of $A_5$ and $A_6$ that when there are two generators, there are exactly two algebraically independent relations. The Poincare polynomial is then always

$$P(t^N) = \frac{(1-t^p)(1-t^q)}{(1-t^a)(1-t^b)}, \tag{3.33}$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the degrees of the generators and $p$ and $q$ are the degrees of the relations. We call this structure almost Landau Ginzburg (ALG). For one generator, of course, we also have this structure. We will see that all IBM's have the ALG structure, though with three or more generators the algebra can be more complicated.

Consider, now, the graph which is the Dynkin diagram of the algebra $D_n$. The $n$ by $n$ matrix of scalar products is

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.34}$$

For $D_5$ the weights are

$$(24,22,4,30,30)/47 \tag{3.35}$$

and the central charge is $c/3 = 55/47$. We have now three generators,

$$x_1 = (1,-1,0,0,0), \qquad x_{11} = (0,1,0,0,0) \qquad \text{and } x_{15} = (0,0,0,0,1). \tag{3.36}$$

For the first relation we take the field,

$$\theta = (0,2,1,0,0) = \theta - \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 = (0,1,-1,2,-1). \tag{3.37}$$

Expanding both sides in terms of the generating chiral fields, we find $x_1^2 x_{11}^2 = x_{11} x_1^{-2} x_{15}$ and so

$$x_{15} = x_1^4 x_{11}, \tag{3.38}$$

so we are left with two generators $x_1$ and $x_{11}$. Next, use the relation,

$$\theta_1 = (2,1,0,0,0) = \theta_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1,-1,2,1,1). \tag{3.39}$$

The l.h.s. is $x_1^2 x_{11}^3$ and the r.h.s. is $x_1^5 x_{15}^2$. We thus find,

$$x_{11} = x_1^{11}, \tag{3.40}$$

and we are left with only one generator, $x_1$. The missing field is at degree 56 and thus the chiral algebra is

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[x_1]}{(x_1^{56})}, \tag{3.41}$$

and the Poincare polynomial is

$$P(t^{47}) = \frac{1-t^{56}}{1-t}. \tag{3.42}$$

Note that although there is only one generator, this is not a minimal model.

Let us do the example of $D_6$. The weights here are

$$x_i = (70, 36, 68, 6, 80, 80)/123. \tag{3.43}$$

The central charge is $c/3 = 170/123$. We have four generators to begin with,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{17} &= (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\ x_{18} &= (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\ x_3 &= (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0) \\ x_{40} &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Our first relation comes from the field,

$$\theta = (0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0) = \theta - \gamma_4 + \gamma_5 = (0, 0, 1, -1, 2, -1). \tag{3.45}$$

Expanding both sides in terms of the generating chiral fields we find that, $x_3 x_{17}^4 = x_{40} x_3^{-1} x_{17}^2$, from which it follows that

$$x_{40} = x_3^2 x_{17}^2, \tag{3.46}$$

and so we are left with three generators, $x_{17}, x_{18}$ and $x_3$. For our next relation we consider the field,

$$\theta_1 = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \theta_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1, -1, 2, 1, 0, 0). \tag{3.47}$$

Expressing both sides in terms of the generators we find $x_{17}^2 x_{18}^3 = x_3 x_{17}^5$, which gives us the relation,

$$x_{18}^3 = x_3 x_{17}^3. \tag{3.48}$$

Next we take,

$$\theta_2 = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0) = \theta_2 - \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 = (0, 1, -1, 2, 1, 1), \tag{3.49}$$

which implies that $x_{18}^2 x_{17}^2 = x_{18} x_{17}^{-2} x_3^2 x_{40}^2$, which, using the expression for $x_{40}$, eq.

(3.46), gives

$$x_{18} = x_3^6, \tag{3.50}$$

and so we are left with two generators $x_3$ and $x_{17}$. Substituting the relation for $x_{18}$ into $x_{18}^3 = x_3 x_{17}^3$ we find the relation among the two generators,

$$x_3^{17} = x_{17}^3. \tag{3.51}$$

For the zero relation, we note that the first field that we miss is at degree 139. Writing it in terms of the generators, it gives,

$$x_{17}^2 x_3^{35} = 0, \tag{3.52}$$

and these are the only relations in the algebra. Thus the chiral algebra is given by,

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{C[x_3, x_{17}]}{(x_3^{17} - x_{17}^3, x_{17}^2 x_3^{35})}, \tag{3.53}$$

and the Poincare polynomial is, since the relations are algebraically independent,

$$P(t^{123}) = \frac{(1-t^{51})(1-t^{139})}{(1-t^3)(1-t^{17})}. \tag{3.54}$$

Thus, this is an ALG theory with two generators.

Let us do the example of the Dynkin diagram of $E_6$. Here the scalar product matrix is,

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.55}$$

and the weights are

$$x_i = (12, 10, 4, 10, 12, 14)/23 \tag{3.56}$$

and the central charge is $c/3 = 31/23$. We have, a priori, three generators,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0) \\ x_5 &= (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0) \\ x_7 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

For the first relation, we consider the field,

$$\theta = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \theta - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1, -1, 2, 1, 0, 1). \tag{3.58}$$

Expanding both sides we find, $x_1^2 x_5^3 = x_7 x_5 x_1^5$ and so the first relation is,

$$x_5^2 = x_7 x_1^3. \tag{3.59}$$

Taking now the field,

$$\theta_1 = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0) = \theta_1 - \gamma_3 + \gamma_6 = (0, 1, -1, -1, 0, 2), \tag{3.60}$$

we find that $x_1^2 x_5^2 = x_7^2 x_1^{-2}$ and so the second relation is,

$$x_7^2 = x_1^4 x_5^2. \tag{3.61}$$

Combining the two relations, we find that $x_7^2 = x_7 x_1^7$ and so

$$x_7 = x_1^7, \tag{3.62}$$

and we are left with two generators, $x_1$ and $x_5$. The eq. (3.61) then becomes the relation of these two generators,

$$x_1^{10} = x_5^2. \tag{3.63}$$

For the zero relation, we note that the first missing field is at degree 27. We have two fields at this degree, $x_1^{27}$ and $x_5 x_1^{22}$ and thus the zero relation is

$$x_1^{27} = \alpha x_5 x_1^{22}, \tag{3.64}$$

where $\alpha$ is some unknown coefficient which depends on the theory, but we cannot calculate as of now. For algebraic independence of the relations, we demand that $\alpha \neq \pm 1, 0$. To see this, note that we should not have any field at degree 32. We can calculate

$$x_1^{32} = \alpha x_5 x_1^{27} = \alpha^2 x_5^2 x_1^{22} = \alpha^2 x_1^{32}. \tag{3.65}$$

Thus, for $\alpha^2 \neq 1$, $x_1^{32} = 0$. If $\alpha \neq 0$ then this equation implies that $x_5 x_1^{27} = 0$ and thus we find no chiral field at degree 32, as we should. Thus, the chiral algebra is,

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[x_1, x_5]}{(x_1^{10} - x_5^2, x_1^{27} - \alpha x_1^{22} x_5)}, \tag{3.66}$$

and since the two relations are algebraically independent, the Poincare polynomial is,

$$P(t^{23}) = \frac{(1-t^{10})(1-t^{27})}{(1-t)(1-t^5)}. \tag{3.67}$$

Again, this theory is an ALG with two generators.

Now, let us demonstrate that the vast majority of these models are not rational.

To do this consider the model with the $\Gamma$ matrix,

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.68}$$

whose $x_i$ are given by

$$x_i = (6,2,4,4,2,6)/10. \tag{3.69}$$

The central charge is given by $c = 18/5$, so the model is, of course, not minimal. In this model, consider the field

$$a = (0,-1,1,0,0,0).$$

This field mixes only with the field

$$b = a + \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 = (1,1,-1,0,-1,0). \tag{3.70}$$

The matrix elements for this mixing are given by

$$M_{11} = \frac{1}{4}\sum x_i \epsilon_i^2 = \frac{1}{4}(x_2 + x_3) = \frac{3}{20}. \tag{3.71}$$

and

$$M_{22} = \frac{1}{4}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_5) = \frac{7}{20}. \tag{3.72}$$

and

$$M_{12} = M_{21} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{x_2 x_3} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{10}. \tag{3.73}$$

The dimensions are given by the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.74}$$

which can be seen to be

$$\Delta = \frac{5 \pm 2\sqrt{3}}{20}, \tag{3.75}$$

which are clearly not rational. We conclude that this model, like most other IBM, is not rational. Of course, the chiral fields will have rational dimensions since the $U(1)$ charges are rational, and the dimensions of these fields is half the $U(1)$ charges.

Let us calculate the Chiral algebra of this non–rational model. We have three generators

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= (1,-1,0,0,0,0) = (0,0,0,0,-1,1) \\ x_1 &= (0,1,0,0,0,0) = (0,0,0,0,1,0) \\ z_2 &= (0,0,1,0,0,0) = (0,0,0,1,0,0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.76}$$

The field $x_2 = x_2 - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = (-1,1,1,1,0,0)$ and so $x_2^2 = (0,0,1,1,0,0) = z_2^2$ and so the first relation is

$$x_2^2 = z_2^2. \tag{3.77}$$

For the second relation, we take,

$$\theta = (2,1,0,0,0,0) = \theta - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1,-1,2,0,1,0), \tag{3.78}$$

from which it follows that $x_2^2 x_1^3 = x_1 x_2 z_2^2$, or

$$x_2 = x_1^2, \tag{3.79}$$

and we are left with two generators, $x_1$ and $z_2$. The generators obey the relation,

$$x_1^4 = z_2^2, \tag{3.80}$$

and it can be seen to be the only relation. For the zero relation, we note that the first missing field is at degree $Q = 11$. Since we have two generators at this level, the zero relation becomes,

$$x_1^{11} = \beta z_2 x_1^9, \tag{3.81}$$

where $\beta$ is some number not equal $\pm 1$ or 0 (for the algebraic independence, with a similar calculation as for the $E_6$ example). Since the theory is not rational, $\beta$ is, presumably, not rational. Thus, the chiral algebra is not a ring, in this case, as we expect for most irrational theories, but an algebra over the complex numbers. Of course, calculating $\beta$, directly, is an extremely difficult task. The chiral algebra thus assumes the form,

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[x_1, z_2]}{(z_2^2 - x_1^4, x_1^{11} - \beta z_2 x_1^9)}, \tag{3.82}$$

and the Poincare polynomial is, since the relations are algebraically independent,

$$P(t^{10}) = \frac{(1-t^4)(1-t^{11})}{(1-t)(1-t^2)}. \tag{3.83}$$

Again this is an ALG with two generators. The dimensions in all IBM are of course algebraic numbers, since they are the eigenvalues of an algebraic matrix. We call such irrational theories algebraic. All the superconformal IBM's are thus algebraic theories. Presumably, this also implies that $\beta$ is an algebraic number. I.e., we conjecture that the constants in the chiral algebra for a rational algebra are rational (in the appropriate basis) and for an algebraic theory they are algebraic.

Let us calculate a similar example. This is the graph with the scalar product matrix,

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.84}$$

whose weight vector is

$$x_i = (14, 2, 8, 8, 8, 2, 14)/22. \tag{3.85}$$

The central charge is $c/3 = 28/22$. The generators of the chiral algebra are,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_6 &= (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1) \\
x_1 &= (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\
z_4 &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.86}$$

For $x_6$ we have,

$$x_6 = x_6 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 = (-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0), \tag{3.87}$$

which implies that

$$x_6^2 = z_4^3, \tag{3.88}$$

Next, we consider the field,

$$\theta_1 = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \theta_1 - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1, -1, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0), \tag{3.89}$$

which implies that $x_6^2 x_1^3 = x_6 x_1 z_4^2$, or

$$z_4^2 = x_6 x_1^2. \tag{3.90}$$

Multiplying this equation by $z_4$ and using eq. (3.88) we find

$$x_6 = x_1^2 z_4. \tag{3.91}$$

Substituting into eq. (3.90), we find, $z_4^2 = z_4 x_1^4$ and so

$$z_4 = x_1^4, \tag{3.92}$$

and we are left with one generator, $x_1$, $x_6 = x_1^6$. The missing field is at the degree

$Q = 29$ so the chiral algebra assumes the form,

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[x_1]}{(x_1^{29})}, \tag{3.93}$$

and the Poincare polynomial is

$$P(t^{22}) = \frac{1 - t^{29}}{1 - t}, \tag{3.94}$$

and the theory is an ALG with one generator. Note that although the Poincare polynomial is similar to that of the 27th minimal model, this is not a minimal model, as the grading is by a different power of $t$.

Let us do now an example with three generators. As we shall see, with three generators we always have four relations, which are no longer algebraically independent. Thus, the Poincare polynomial is no longer of the simple structure eq. (3.10). However, we still call this structure almost Landau Ginzburg (ALG), as it is common to many IBM's with three generators.

Consider then the theory $A_7$. The scalar product matrix, $\Gamma$ is given by eq. (3.1). The weights are

$$x_i = (26, 16, 20, 18, 20, 16, 26)/47. \tag{3.95}$$

The central charge is $c/3 = 71/47$. We have three generators,

$$\begin{aligned} x_5 &= (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1) \\ x_8 &= (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ x_9 &= (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.96}$$

We know that $x_5 = x_5 - \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = (-1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. Consequently, $x_5^2 =$

$(0,0,1,0,0,0,0)$. For the first relation, we consider,

$$\theta = (2,1,0,0,0,0,0) = \theta - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1,-1,2,1,0,0,0). \tag{3.97}$$

Expanding both sides we find $x_5^2 x_8^3 = x_5^5 x_9$, from which we deduce the first relation,

$$x_8^3 = x_9 x_5^3. \tag{3.98}$$

For the second relation, we consider the field,

$$\theta_1 = (0,2,1,0,0,0,0) = \theta_1 - \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 = (0,1,-1,2,1,0,0). \tag{3.99}$$

Expanding both sides in terms of the generators, we find $x_8^2 x_5^2 = x_8 x_9^2$ so

$$x_9^2 = x_8 x_5^2, \tag{3.100}$$

which is our second relation. These are all the field identifications.

We need to find now the zero relations. The Poincare Polynomial for the algebra with the two field identifications is generated by fields which are $x_5^n x_9^l x_8^m$, where $n$ is any integer, $l = 0,1$ and $m = 0,1,2$. It is thus,

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{1-t^5}(1+t^9)(1+t^8+t^{16}). \tag{3.101}$$

The highest missing power of $t$ is at $t^{12}$. We thus need a zero relation at $t^{71-12} = t^{59}$. The only field of degree 59 is $x_5^{10} x_9$. We thus conclude that the first zero relation is

$$x_5^{10} x_9 = 0. \tag{3.102}$$

Now, we note that in $P_0$ we have a term which is $2t^{25}$, but we have only one field at degree $t^{71-25}$. We thus need an additional relation at the degree $Q = 25$. This relation is, thus,

$$x_5^5 - \zeta x_9 x_8^2 = 0, \tag{3.103}$$

with some coefficient $\zeta$. Closure of the algebra implies that $\zeta = 1$, as we can see by multiplying both sides with $x_8$, and using the relations. With these four relations the Poincare polynomial becomes

$$P(t^{47}) = 1+t^5+t^8+t^9+t^{10}+(t^{13}+t^{14}+\ldots+t^{58})+t^{61}+t^{62}+t^{63}+t^{66}+t^{71}, \tag{3.104}$$

which obeys the transpose relation, eq. (3.8), but the relations in the algebra are no longer algebraically independent. We conclude that the chiral algebra is

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[x_5, x_8, x_9]}{(x_8^3 - x_9 x_5^3, x_9^2 - x_8 x_5^2, x_5^{10} x_9, x_5^5 - x_8^2 x_9)}. \tag{3.105}$$

Let us do another example with three generators, which is $A_8$. Here the weight vector is,

$$x_i = (21, 13, 16, 15, 15, 16, 13, 21)/38, \tag{3.106}$$

and the central charge is $c/3 = 130/76$. We have three generators for the algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} x_8 &= (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (-1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\ x_{13} &= (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\ x_{15} &= (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.107}$$

For the first relation, we have,

$$\theta = (2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \theta - \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = (1, -1, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0). \tag{3.108}$$

Expressing this relation in terms of the generators gives $x_8^2 x_{13}^3 = x_8^5 x_{15}$ which implies that

$$x_{13}^3 = x_{15} x_8^3, \tag{3.109}$$

which is the first relation at degree 39.

Next, we take

$$\theta_1 = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \theta_1 - \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 = (0, 1, -1, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0), \tag{3.110}$$

which implies that $x_{13}^2 x_8^2 = x_{13} x_8^{-2} x_{15}^3$. This gives us our second field identification,

$$x_{15}^3 = x_{13} x_8^4, \tag{3.111}$$

at degree 45.

To get the zero relations we write down the Poincare polynomial of the two field identifications. This is generated by fields of the type $x_8^n x_{13}^l x_{15}^m$, where $n$ is any integer and $l$ and $m$ equal $0, 1$ or 2. Thus, the Poincare polynomial is

$$P_0(t^{76}) = \frac{1}{1 - t^8}(1 + t^{13} + t^{26})(1 + t^{15} + t^{30}). \tag{3.112}$$

The maximal missing power of $t$ in $P_0$ is $t^{35}$. We thus need a relation at the degree $Q = Q_{\max} - 35 = 95$. This gives us the first zero relation,

$$x_{15} x_8^{10} = 0. \tag{3.113}$$

We have two fields in $P_0$ at degree 56. One of them needs to be eliminated since we have only one field at degree $130 - 56 = 74$. We thus find the second zero relation,

$$x_8^7 = x_{15}^2 x_{13}^2, \tag{3.114}$$

where the coefficient was determined by closure of the algebra (multiplying by $x_{15}$ or $x_{13}$ and using the relations). There are no other relations. We conclude that the chiral algebra is

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{C[x_8, x_{13}, x_{18}]}{(x_{13}^3 - x_8^3 x_{15}, x_{15}^3 - x_8^4 x_{13}, x_{15} x_8^{10}, x_8^7 - x_{15}^2 x_{13}^2)}, \tag{3.115}$$

and the Poincare polynomial is

$$P(t^{76}) = P_1(t) + t^{130} P_1(1/t) + \sum_{r=36}^{94} t^r, \tag{3.116}$$

where

$$P_1(t) = 1+t^8+t^{13}+t^{15}+t^{16}+t^{21}+t^{23}+t^{24}+t^{26}+t^{28}+t^{29}+t^{30}+t^{31}+t^{32}+t^{34}. \tag{3.117}$$

Again, the relations are not algebraically independent, but we have four relations with only three generators.

## 4. Conclusions

We discussed here the chiral algebras of $N = 2$ superconformal interacting boson models. We have seen that a common structure arises which we called almost Landau Ginzburg. We hope that these results will lead to further understanding of the geometrical interpretation of these models.

The examples discussed here were chosen rather sporadically. The calculus we developed works equally well on most other superconformal interacting bosons, which, indeed, is an enormous wealth of theories.

One can describe a string compactification on tensor products of such IBM's, along the lines of ref. [1]. For four dimensional string theories, the only requirement is that the total central charge should be 9. Although, most IBM's are not rational, this is not a problem, and the construction of ref. [1], works equally well for those. The chiral algebras discussed here would then give the number of generations and anti–generations in such string theories, along with the Yukawa couplings. Thus, such models can be of phenomenological interest.

# REFERENCES

1. D. Gepner, Nucl. Phys. B296 (1988) 757
2. D. Gepner, Princeton preprint (1988), hep-th/9301089
3. D. Gepner, Phys. Lett. B199 (1987) 380
4. P. Candelas, G.T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B258 (1985) 46.
5. Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A4 (1989) 235.
6. R. Cohen and D. Gepner, Mod. Phys. Lett. A vol. 6, No. 24 (1991) 2249.
7. N. Seiberg and A. Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. B184 (1987) 191.
8. J.P. Conlon and D. Gepner, Phys. Lett. B548 (2002) 102.